

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TERRILL BROWN,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-CR-226-5-JPS

**ORDER**

On November 22, 2016, the government filed a twenty-two count fourth superseding indictment in this matter. The defendant was charged in ten counts with robbery and using a firearm in connection therewith, and possessing a firearm as a felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) and 2; § 922(g)(1); § 924(a)(2) and (c)(1)(A)(ii) and 2; and § 2113(a) and (d) and 2. (Docket #114). On May 2, 2017, the parties filed a plea agreement indicating that the defendant agreed to plead guilty to Counts Eleven, Thirteen, Fifteen, and Sixteen of the Indictment, and that the remaining counts would be dismissed at sentencing. (Docket #176).

The parties appeared before Magistrate Judge David E. Jones on May 16, 2017, to conduct a plea colloquy pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11. (Docket #180). The defendant entered a plea of guilty as to Counts Eleven, Thirteen, Fifteen, and Sixteen of the Indictment. *Id.* After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, Magistrate Jones determined that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary, and that the offenses charged were supported by independent factual bases containing each of the essential elements of the offenses. (Docket #180 and #181).

Thereafter, Magistrate Jones filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court, recommending that: (1) the defendant's plea of guilty be accepted; (2) a presentence investigation report be prepared; and (3) the defendant be adjudicated guilty and have a sentence imposed accordingly. (Docket #181). Pursuant to General L. R. 72(c) (E.D. Wis.), 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), and Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 59(b) or 72(b) if applicable, the parties were advised that written objections to that recommendation, or any part thereof, could be filed within fourteen days of the date of service of the recommendation.

To date, no party has filed such an objection.


The Court has considered Magistrate Jones' recommendation and, having received no objection thereto, will adopt it.

Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge David E. Jones' report and recommendation (Docket #181) be and the same is hereby **ADOPTED**.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 2nd day of June, 2017.

BY THE COURT:

  
J.P. Stadtmueller  
U.S. District Judge